

Litigating the Rights of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples in Domestic and International Courts

Studies in Territorial and Cultural Diversity Governance

Series Editors

Francesco Palermo
Joseph Marko

Editorial Board

Cheryl Saunders (*University of Melbourne*)
G. Alan Tarr (*Rutgers University, Camden, NJ*)
Anna Gamper (*University of Innsbruck*)
Nico Steytler (*University of Western Cape*)
Petra Roter (*University of Ljubljana*)
Joshua Castellino (*Middlesex University*)
Stefan Oeter (*University of Hamburg*)
Ilze Brands-Kehris (*Director HCNM Office, The Hague*)

VOLUME 14

The titles published in this series are listed at brill.com/tcdg

Litigating the Rights of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples in Domestic and International Courts

Edited by

Bertus de Villiers, Joseph Marko,
Francesco Palermo and Sergiu Constantin



BRILL
NIJHOFF

LEIDEN | BOSTON



This is an open access title distributed under the terms of the CC BY 4.0 license, which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. Further information and the complete license text can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

The terms of the CC license apply only to the original material. The use of material from other sources (indicated by a reference) such as diagrams, illustrations, photos and text samples may require further permission from the respective copyright holder.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: De Villiers, Bertus, editor. | Marko, Joseph, editor. | Palermo, Francesco, editor. | Constantin, Sergiu, editor.

Title: Litigating the rights of minorities and indigenous peoples in domestic and international courts / edited by Bertus de Villiers, Joseph Marko, Francesco Palermo and Sergiu Constantin.

Description: Leiden; Boston : Brill, 2021. | Series: Studies in territorial and cultural diversity governance, 2213-2570 ; volume14 | "The book originates from a grant that Bertus de Villiers received from the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung (Germany) for Innovative Research Networking. The project entailed a three year series of workshop on contemporary constitutional jurisprudence. One workshop was held in Australia and two in Indonesia"—ECLIP acknowledgments. | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "This book focuses on trend-setting judgments in different parts of the world that impacted on the rights of persons belonging to minorities and Indigenous people. The cases illustrate how the judiciary has been called upon to fill out the detail of minority protection arrangements and how, in doing so, in many instances the judiciary has taken the respective countries on a course that parliament may not have been able to navigate. In this book authors from various backgrounds in the practical application of minority protection arrangements investigate the role of the judiciary in constitutional arrangements aimed at the protection of the rights of minorities and Indigenous peoples."— Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2021025828 (print) | LCCN 2021025829 (ebook) |

ISBN 9789004461659 (hardback ; acid- free paper) | ISBN 9789004461666 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Minorities—Legal status, laws, etc.—Congresses. | Indigenous peoples—Legal status, laws, etc.—Congresses.

Classification: LCC K3242.A6 L58 2021 (print) | LCC K3242.A6 (ebook) | DDC 342.08/7—dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021025828>

LC ebook record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2021025829>

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 2213-2570

ISBN 978-90-04-46165-9 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-46166-6 (e-book)

Copyright 2021 by Bertus de Villiers, Joseph Marko, Francesco Palermo and Sergiu Constantin. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Hotei, Brill Schöningh, Brill Fink, Brill mentis, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Böhlau Verlag and V&R Unipress.

Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect this publication against unauthorized use.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Contents

- Abbreviations VII
Case Law VIII
- 1 Introduction 1
Bertus de Villiers
 - 2 The Mabo-Decision and the “Discovery” of Native Title in Australia and Beyond 8
George Barrie
 - 3 The Elephant in the Room – Resolving Disputes about Membership of a Minority or Indigenous Community 53
Bertus de Villiers
 - 4 Key Judgments on the Accommodation of Muslim Family Law in South Africa 82
Christa Rautenbach
 - 5 The Role of the Constitutional Court in Protecting Minority Rights: A Case on Traditional Beliefs in Indonesia 125
Saldi Isra and Pan Mohamad Faiz
 - 6 Land, Consultation and Participation Rights of Indigenous Peoples in the Jurisprudence of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: The Cases of Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador and Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname 149
Alexandra Tomaselli and Federica Cittadino
 - 7 Litigating Linguistic Rights of National Minorities in Central, Eastern, and South-Eastern Europe 179
Francesco Palermo and Sergiu Constantin
 - 8 International Treaty-based Protection of Minorities: Select Cases of the UN Human Rights Committee 219
Hennie Strydom

- 9 Comparing Jurisprudence from Five Continents: Concluding
Observations in Search for Meaning through Intercultural
Understanding 239
Joseph Marko
- Index 265

Abbreviations

ACFC	Advisory Committee on the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities
ACHR	American Convention on Human Rights
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CC	Constitutional Court of South Africa
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECRML	European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages
FCNM	Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities
FSA	Foreshore and Seabed Act 2004 (New Zealand)
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICERD	International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
HCA	High Court of Australia
HCCJ	High Court of Cassation and Justice of Romania
ILO	International Labour Organization
MP	Member of Parliament
NTA	Native Title Act 1993 (Australia)
OAS	Organization of American States
SALRC	South African Law Reform Commission
SCA	Supreme Court of Appeal of South Africa
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UN	United Nations
UNDRM	United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious, and Linguistic Minorities
UNDRIP	United Nations Declaration of the Right of Indigenous Peoples
WLCT	Women's Legal Centre Trust

Case Law

Domestic Jurisprudence

Australia

- Akiba v Queensland (No 3)* [2010] FCA 643, 204 FCR I.
- Akiba v Commonwealth* [2013] HCA 33, 250 CLR 209.
- Aplin on behalf of the Waanyi Peoples v Queensland* [2010] FCA 625.
- Coe v Commonwealth of Australia* [1979] 24 ALR 118.
- Cubillo v Commonwealth* [2000] FCA 1084; 103 FCR 1; 174 ALR 97.
- Desmond Gibbs v Lyle Capewell, Australian Electoral Commission and Minister of Aboriginal and Islander Affairs* [1995] FCA 1048; (1995) 128 ALR 577 (1995) 54 FCR 503 (3 February 1995).
- Gale v Minister of Land and Water Conservation for the State of New South Wales* [2004] FCA 374.
- Gumana v Northern Territory* [2005] 141 FCR 457; [2005] FCAFC 23; (2007) FCAFC 23.
- Harrington-Smith on behalf of the Wongatha People v Western Australia (no. 9)* [2007] FCA 31.
- Lardil Peoples v State of Queensland* [2004] FCA 298.
- Love v Commonwealth of Australia and Thoms v Commonwealth of Australia* [2020] HCA 3.
- Mabo v Queensland (no. 1)* [1988] 166 CLR 186.
- Mabo v Queensland (no. 2)* [1992] 175 CLR 1.
- Members of the Yorta Yorta Aboriginal Community v Victoria* [2002] 77 ALJR 356 (HCA).
- Members of the Yorta Yorta Aboriginal Community v The State of Victoria* [2001] FCR 244
- Milirrpum v Nabalco Pty Ltd and the Commonwealth of Australia* [1971] FLR 141.
- R v Cobby* [1883] 4 LR NSW 355.
- R v Murrell* [1836] 1 Legge 92.
- R v Neddy Monkey* [1861] 1 Wand W (L) 40.
- Shaw v Wolf* [1998] 163 ALR 205, 211–212.
- Trevorrow v State of South Australia* [2007] SASC 285.
- Wacando v Commonwealth* [1981] 148 CLR 1.
- Western Australia v Commonwealth* [1995] 183 CLR 373 (HCA).
- Western Australia v Ward* [2002] HCA 28; 213 CLR 1; 191 ALR 1; 76 ALJR 1098.
- Wik Peoples v Queensland* [1996] 187 CLR 1.

Austria

Constitutional Court of Austria. 2001. Decision of 13 December 2001, VfSlg 16.404/2001.

Belize

Aurelio Cal in his own behalf and on behalf of the Maya Village of Santa Cruz and others v The Attorney General of Belize and the Minister of Natural Resources and Environment; Manuel Coy in his own behalf and on behalf of the Maya Village of Conejo and Others v The Attorney General of Belize and the Minister for Natural Resources and Environment. Claim Nos. 171 and 172 of 2007; Judgment of 18 October 2007.

Maya Leaders Alliance and the Toledo Alcaldes Association on behalf of the Maya Villages of Toledo District and Others v Attorney General of Belize and Others. Claim no. 366 of 2008; Judgment of 28 June 2010.

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Constitutional Court. 2000. Partial Decision Part 3 of 1 July 2000, Case no. U 5/98 III (*Constituent Peoples* case).

Botswana

Sesana and Others v Attorney General [2006] BWHC 1.

Canada

Calder v Attorney General of British Columbia [1973] SCR 313.

Delgamuukw v British Columbia [1997] 3 SCR 1010.

Guerin v. The Queen [1984] 2 SCR 335.

Haida Nation v British Columbia (Minister of Forests) [2004] 3 SCR 511, 2004 SCC 73.

Mitchell v M.N.R. [2001] 1 SCR 911, SCC 33.

R v Côté [1996] 3 SCR 139.

R v Van der Peet [1996] SCR 507 SCC.

Roberts v Canada [1989] 1 SCR 322.

Smith v The Queen [1983] 1 SCR 554.

Colombia

Constitutional Court. 2001. Judgment C-169/01 of 14 February 2001.

Croatia

Constitutional Court. 1995. Decision of 2 February 1995 in Case no. U-II-433/1994.

Constitutional Court. 2003. Decision of 23 January 2003 in Case no. U-III-322/1999.

Constitutional Court. 2014. Decision of 12 August 2014 in Case no. U-VIIR-4640/2014

Constitutional Court. 2019. Decision of 2 July 2019 in Case no. U-II-1818/2016.

Finland

Supreme Administrative Court. 1999. Judgment 22.09.1999/3181.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2003. Judgment 19.09.2003/2223.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2011. Judgment 26.09.2011/2710.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2015a. Judgment 30.09.2015/2601.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2015b. Judgment 30.09.2015/2602.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2015c. Judgment 30.09.2015/2603.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2015d. Judgment 30.09.2015/2604.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2016a. Judgment 13.01.2016/61.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2016b. Judgment 13.01.2016/79.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2019. Judgment 05.07.2019/3166.

Indonesia

Constitutional Court of Indonesia. 2017. Decision no. 97/PUU-XIV/2016 of 7 November 2017.

Kenya

William Yatich Sitetalia and Others v Baringo County Council (Civil Case no. 183/2000; Judgment of 19 April 2002).

Latvia

Constitutional Court. 2003. Judgment of 5 June 2003 in Case no. 2003-02-0106.

Constitutional Court. 2005. Judgment of 13 May 2005 in Case no. 2004-18-0106.

Constitutional Court. 2019a. Judgment of 23 April 2019 in Case no. 2018-12-01.

Constitutional Court. 2019b. Judgment of 13 November 2019 in Case no. 2018-22-01.

Lithuania

Constitutional Court. 1999. Decision of 21 October 1999 in Case no. 14/98.

Constitutional Court. 2009. Decision of 6 November 2009 (Interpretation of its Decision in Case no. 14/98 of 21 October 1999).

Constitutional Court. 2014. Decision of 27 February 2014 (Interpretation of its Decision in Case no. 14/98 of 21 October 1999).

Supreme Administration Court. 2009. Decision of 30 January 2009 in Case no. A-756-152/2009.

Supreme Administration Court. 2011. Decision of 8 July 2011 in Case no. A-662-2474/2011.

Supreme Administration Court. 2013. Decision of 30 September 2013 in Case no. A-520-1271/2013.

Supreme Administrative Court. 2017. Decision of 28 February 2017 in Case no. A- 2445-624/2017.

Malaysia

Adong bin Kuwau and Ors v Kerajaan Negeri Johor and Anor [1997] 1 MLJ 418.
Superintendent of Lands & Surveys, Miri Division and Government of Sarawak v Madeli bin Salleh [2007] 6 CLJ 509, 2008 MLJ 677.

Moldova

Constitutional Court. 2013. Decision no. 36/2013 of 5 December 2013.

Constitutional Court. 2017. Opinion no. 3/2017 of 31 October 2017.

Constitutional Court. 2018. Decision no. 17/2018 of 4 June 2018.

New Zealand

Ngati Apa v Attorney General [2003] NZCA 117; [2003] 3 NZLR 643.

Faulkner v Tauranga District Council [1996] 1 NZLR 357.

Re Marlborough Sounds Foreshore and Seabed [2002] 2 NZLR 661.

Re the Ninety Mile Beach [1963] NZLR 461.

R v Symonds [1847] NZHC 1; NZPCC 387.

Te Weehi v Regional Fisheries Officer [1986] 1 NZLR 680 (HC).

Te Runanganui o Te Ika Whenua Inc Society v Attorney General [1994] 2 NZLR 20.

Wi Parata v The Bishop of Wellington [1877] 3 NZ Jur (NS) 72 (SC).

North Macedonia

Constitutional Court. 1998. Decision no. 49/1998-0-0 of 20 May 1998.

Constitutional Court. 2010. Decision no. 70/2010-0-1 of 14 July 2010.

Peru

Constitutional Court. 2010. Judgment 0022-2009-PI/TC of 9 June 2010.

Romania

Constitutional Court. 2001. Decision no. 112/2001 of 9 April 2001.

Constitutional Court. 2011. Decision no. 2/2011 of 4 January 2011.

High Court of Cassation and Justice. 2013. Decision no. 2968/2013 of 7 March 2013.

High Court of Cassation and Justice. 2014. Decision no. 1438/2014 of 20 March 2014.

Russian Federation

Constitutional Court. 1998. Decision no. 12-π of 27 April 1998.

Constitutional Court. 2004. Decision no. 16-π of 16 November 2004.

Slovakia

Constitutional Court. 1997. Judgment no. 260/1997 of 26 August 1997.

South Africa

Alexkor Ltd and Another v The Richtersveld Community and Others [2004] 5 SA 460 (CC).

AM v RM [2010] (2) SA 223 (ECP).

Amod v Multilateral Motor Vehicle Accidents Fund [1997] (12) BCLR 1716 (D).

Amod v Multilateral Motor Vehicle Accidents Fund [1998] (4) SA 753 (CC).

Amod v Multilateral Motor Vehicle Accidents Fund [1999] (4) SA 1319 (SCA).

Arendse v Arendse [2013] (3) SA 347 (WCC).

August v Rens [1836] 1 SC 203.

Bronn v Frits Bronn's Executors [1860] 3 SC 313.

Builders Ltd v Union Government (Minister of Finance) [1928] AD 46.

Cassim v Cassim [2006] TPD (Unreported Case no. 3954/06).

Certification of the Amended Text of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa [1997] (2) SA 97 (CC).

Daniels v Daniels [1998] CPD (Unreported Case no. 9787/98).

Daniels v Campbell [2003] (9) BCLR 969 (C).

Daniels v Campbell [2004] (7) BCLR 735 (CC).

De Lange v Presiding Bishop of the Methodist Church of Southern Africa for the Time Being [2015] JOL 32433 (SCA).

Esop v Union Government (Minister of the Interior) [1913] CPD 133.

Ex Parte Chairperson of the Constitutional Assembly: in re Certification of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, [1996] (4) SA 744 (CC).

Ex Parte Chairperson of the Constitutional Assembly: Certification of the Amended Text of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, [1997] (2) SA 97 (CC).

Faro v Bingham [2013] ZAWCHC 159.

Gaum v Janse Van Rensburg [2019] JOL 41528 (GP).

Harksen v Lane [1998] (1) SA 300 (CC).

Hassam v Jacobs [2008] 4 All SA 350 (C).

Hassam v Jacobs [2009] (5) SA 572 (CC).

- Hoosain v Dangor* [2010] 2 All SA 55 (WCC).
Ismail v Ismail [1983] (1) SA 1006 (A).
Ismail v Ismail [2007] JOL 19769 (E).
Jajbhay v Cassim [1939] AD 537.
Jamalodeen v Moola [2006] NPD (Unreported Case no. 1835/06).
Kalla v The Master [1995] (1) SA 261 (T).
Khan v Khan [2005] (2) SA 272 (T).
Law Union and Rock Insurance Co Ltd v Carmichael's Executor [1917] AD 593.
Mashia Ebrahim v Mahomed Essop [1905] TS 59.
MM v MM [2019] ZAWCHC 158.
Moosa v Harneker [2017] (6) SA 425 (WCC).
Moosa v Minister of Justice and Correctional Services [2018] (5) SA 13 (CC).
Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association of SA: In Re Ex Parte President of the Republic of South Africa [2000] (2) SA 674 (CC).
R v Sukina [1912] TPD 1079.
Richtersveld Community and Others v Alexkor Ltd and Another [2001] 3 SA 1293 (LCC).
Richtersveld Community and Others v Alexkor Ltd and Another [2003] 6 SA 104 (SCA).
Ryland v Edros [1997] (1) BCLR 77 (C).
S v Johardien [1990] (1) SA 1026 (C).
S v Makwanyane [1995] (3) SA 391 (CC).
Santam Bpk v Henery [1999] (3) SA 421 (SCA).
Seedat's Executors v The Master (Natal) [1917] AD 302.
Solomons v Abrams [1991] (4) SA 437 (W).
Taylor v Kurtstag [2005] (1) SA 362 (W).
Women's Legal Centre Trust v President of the Republic of South Africa [2009] (6) SA 94 (CC).
Women's Legal Centre Trust v President of the Republic of South Africa [2018] (6) SA 598 (WCC).

Ukraine

- Constitutional Court. 1999. Decision no. 10-pn/1999 of 14 December 1999.
 Constitutional Court. 2000. Decision no. 9-pn/2000 of 12 July 2000.
 Constitutional Court. 2007. Decision no. 13-pn/2007 of 20 December 2007.
 Constitutional Court. 2008. Decision no. 8-pn/2008 of 22 April 2008.
 Constitutional Court. 2010. Decision no. 4-pn/2010 of 2 February 2010.
 Constitutional Court. 2018. Decision no. 2-p/2018 of 28 February 2018.
 Constitutional Court. 2019. Decision no. 10-p/2019 of 16 July 2019.

United Kingdom

Adeyinka Oyekan and Others v Musendiku Adele [1957] 2 All ER 785 (PC) 198.

Amodu Tijani v The Secretary, Southern Provinces [1921] 2 AC 399 (PC).

Cooper v Stuart [1889] AC 286.

Nireaha Tamaki v Baker (1901) NZPC 1; [1901] UKPC 18; [1901] AC 561; (1901) NZPCC 371.

Re Southern Rhodesia [1919] AC 211 (PC).

Tamaki v Baker [1901] NZPC 1; [1901] UKPC 18; [1901] AC 561; (1901) NZPCC 371.

United States

Johnson v McIntosh 21 US (8 Wheat) 543 (1823).

International Jurisprudence

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights

Centre for Minority Rights Development (Kenya) and Minority Rights Group International on behalf of Endorois Welfare Council v Kenya. Communication no. 276/2003, 2 February 2010.

Inter-American Commission of Human Rights

The Kaliña and Lokono Peoples. Report no. 79/13, Case 12.639, 18 July 2013 (Merits).

Mary and Carrie Dann v. United States. Report no. 75/02, Case 11.140. 27 December 2002 (Merits).

Maya Indigenous Communities of the Toledo District v Belize. Report no. 40/04, Case 12.053, 12 October 2004 (Merits).

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Case of Castillo Páez v. Peru. Judgment of 3 November 1997. Series C no. 34 (Merits).

Case of Lysias Fleury et al. v. Haiti. Judgment of 23 November 2011. Series C no. 236 (Merits and reparations).

Case of the Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honhat Association (Our Land) v. Argentina. Judgment of 6 February 2020, Series C no. 400 (Merits, reparations and costs).

Case of the Kaliña and Lokono Peoples v. Suriname. Judgment of 25 November 2015. Series C no. 309 (Merits, reparations and costs).

Case of the Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. Ecuador. Judgment of 27 June 2012. Series C no. 245 (Merits and reparations).

- Case of the Mayagna (Sumo) Awas Tingni Community v. Nicaragua.* Judgment of 31 August 2001. Series C no. 79 (Merits, reparations and costs).
- Case of the Moiwana Community v. Suriname.* Judgment of 15 June 2005. Series C no. 124 (Preliminary Objections, merits, reparations and costs).
- Case of the Saramaka People v. Suriname.* Judgment of 28 November 2007. Series C no. 172 (Preliminary Objections, merits, reparations, and costs).
- Case of the Sawhoyamaxa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay.* Judgment of 29 March 2006. Series C no. 146 (Merits, reparations and costs).
- Case of Velásquez-Rodríguez v. Honduras.* Judgment of 26 June 1987. Series C no. 1 (Preliminary objections).
- Case of the Xákmok Kásek Indigenous Community v. Paraguay.* Judgment of 24 August 2010. Series C no. 214 (Merits, reparations, and costs).
- Case of the Xucuru Indigenous People and its members v. Brazil.* Judgment of 5 February 2018. Series C no. 346 (Preliminary Objections, merits, reparations and costs).
- Case of the Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v. Paraguay.* Judgment of 17 June 2005. Series C no. 125 (Merits, reparations and costs).
- Rosendo Cantú et al. v. Mexico.* Judgment of 31 August 2010. Series C no. 216 (Preliminary objection, merits, reparations and costs).

International Court of Justice

Western Sahara Advisory Opinion 1975 ICJ Reports, 12.

UN Human Rights Committee

- Äärelä and Näkkäläjärvi v Finland.* 2001. Communication no. 779/1997, UN Doc. CCPR/C/73/D/779/1997, Views adopted on 24 October 2001.
- Barzhig v France.* 1991. Communication no. 327/1988, UN Doc. CCPR/C/41/D/327/1988, Views adopted on 11 April 1991.
- Cadoret and Bihan v France.* 1991. Communication nos. 221/1987 and 323/1988, UN Doc. CCPR/C/41/D/323/1988, Views adopted on 11 April 1991.
- Diergaardt et al. v Namibia.* 2000. Communication no. 760/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/69/D/760/1996, Views adopted on 25 July 2000.
- Howard v Canada.* 2005. Communication No. 879/1999, UN Doc. CCPR/C/84/D/879/1999, Views adopted on 26 July 2005.
- Kitok v Sweden.* 1988. Communication no. 197/1985, UN Doc. CCPR/C/33/D/197/1985, Views adopted on 27 July 1988.
- Käkkäläjärvi et al. v Finland.* 2018. Communication no. 2950/2017, UN Doc. CCPR/C/124/D/2950/2017, Views adopted on 2 November 2018.
- Länsman et al v Finland.* 2005. Communication no. 1023/2001, UN Doc. CCPR/C/83/D/1023/2001, Views adopted on 17 March 2005.

- Lovelace v Canada*. 1981. Communication no. 24/1977, UN Doc. CCPR/C/13/D/24/1977, Views adopted on 30 July 1981.
- Lubicon Lake Band v Canada*. 1990. Communication no. 167/1984, UN Doc. CCPR/C/38/D/167/1984, Views adopted on 26 March 1990.
- Mavlonov and Sa'di v Uzbekistan*. 2009. Communication no. 1334/2004, UN Doc. CCPR/C/95/D/1334/2004, Views adopted on 19 March 2009.
- Poma Poma v Peru*. 2009. Communication no. 1457/2006, UN Doc. CCPR/C/95/D/1457/2006, Views adopted on 27 March 2009.
- Sanila-Aikio v Finland*. 2018. Communication no. 2668/2015, UN Doc. CCPR/C/124/D/2668/2015, Views adopted on 1 November 2018.
- Waldman v Canada*. 1999. Communication no 694/1996, UN Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/694/1996, Views adopted on 3 November 1999.