

How EU Member States Represent the Common Purpose of Migration Management

Nijhoff Studies in European Union Law

Series Editors

Fabian Amtenbrink (*Erasmus University Rotterdam*)

Ramses A. Wessel (*University of Groningen*)

VOLUME 26

The titles published in this series are listed at *brill.com/seul*

How EU Member States Represent the Common Purpose of Migration Management

By

Sebastian Meyer



BRILL | NIJHOFF

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Meyer, Sebastian, author.

Title: How EU member states represent the common purpose of migration management / by Sebastian Meyer.

Other titles: How European Union member states represent the common purpose of migration management

Description: Leiden ; Boston : Brill, 2025. | Series: Nijhoff studies in European Union law, 2210-9765 ; 26 | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "Since the 'refugee crisis' in 2015, EU Member States have claimed to represent or act on behalf of the Union when regulating migration. Some measures were outside or at the margins of the EU legal order"— Provided by publisher.

Identifiers: LCCN 2024036280 (print) | LCCN 2024036281 (ebook) | ISBN 9789004695726 (hardback) | ISBN 9789004695733 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Emigration and immigration law—European Union countries. | European Union countries—Emigration and immigration—Government policy. | Immigrants—Government policy—European Union countries.

Classification: LCC KJE6044 .M49 2025 (print) | LCC KJE6044 (ebook) | DDC 342.2408/2—dc23/eng/20240807

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2024036280>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2024036281>

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 2210-9765

ISBN 978-90-04-69572-6 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-69573-3 (e-book)

DOI 10.1163/9789004695733

Copyright 2025 by Koninklijke Brill bv, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Koninklijke Brill bv incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Schöningh, Brill Fink, Brill mentis, Brill Wageningen Academic, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Böhlau and V&R unipress.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Requests for re-use and/or translations must be addressed to Koninklijke Brill bv via brill.com or copyright.com.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Contents

Acknowledgements IX

Introduction 1

PART 1

The Theory of the European Spheres

- 1 Representing the Union and the Nation State 15
 - 1 Introduction 15
 - 2 Representing the Nation State 18
 - 2.1 *Political Reflexivity in the Constitutional State* 18
 - 2.2 *How the German Federal Constitutional Court Represents European Integration* 25
 - 3 Representing the *Rechtsgemeinschaft*: The Common Purpose of Mutual Non-interference 30
 - 4 Representation, EU Law and the Empowerment of the Member States 36
 - 4.1 *What Makes a (Good) Representative?* 36
 - 4.2 *What Makes Member States (Good) Representatives of the Union?* 38
 - 4.3 *How the Formal Representation of the Union May Become Contentious* 43
 - 5 Conclusion 47
- 2 Acting on Behalf of the Common Purpose 49
 - 1 Introduction 49
 - 2 Moving between the Spheres (1): The Systemic Dimension 50
 - 2.1 *Radical Pluralism: Each to Their Own* 50
 - 2.2 *Cosmopolitan Pluralism: Principles Anywhere* 53
 - 2.3 *Epistemic Pluralism: The Elusive Common Whole* 57
 - 2.4 *Synthesis: The Common Purpose in Constitutional Pluralism* 63
 - 3 The Principle of Autonomy in EU Law: Meaning and Limits 68
 - 3.1 *The Original Justification* 69
 - 3.2 *Today: Upholding the Common Values and Mutual Trust* 71
 - 3.3 *Interim Conclusion* 80

4	Solidarity and the Common Purpose of Migration Management	82
4.1	<i>The Concept of Solidarity</i>	82
4.2	<i>Solidarity in the Inner Sphere</i>	84
4.3	<i>Solidarity in the Intermediate Sphere</i>	90
5	Moving between the Spheres (II): The Instrumental Dimension	94
5.1	<i>The Relationship between Law and Governance</i>	96
5.2	<i>Political Hybridity as Part of the Meta-constitutional Discourse</i>	100
6	Conclusion	103

PART 2

The Practice of the European Spheres

3	The Common Purpose of EU Migration Management	109
1	Introduction	109
2	The Basic Tenets of International Refugee Law	110
3	The Dual Common Purpose: Individual Protection and Collective Security	112
4	Responsibility-Sharing under a Comprehensive Approach	120
5	European Cooperation and Support from the EU Institutions	127
6	Summary	133
4	Acting on the Internal Dimension: Implementing EU Migration Management	135
1	Introduction	135
2	Responsibility for Individual Protection	136
2.1	<i>Allocating Responsibility under the Common European Asylum System (CEAS)</i>	136
2.2	<i>Responsibility and Exceptions to Mutual Trust</i>	139
2.3	<i>Responsibility in Light of Interdependence</i>	142
3	Responsibility for Public Security	148
3.1	<i>Enforcing a Return Decision on Behalf of Another Member State</i>	149
3.2	<i>Issuing an Entry Ban on Behalf of All</i>	151
4	Balancing a Fine Line after the Reintroduction of Internal Border Controls	154
4.1	<i>The Ruling in Arib: Countering the Temptation to Slip Away into the Outer Sphere</i>	154

4.2	<i>Pushbacks of Asylum Seekers Registered in Another Member State</i>	158
4.3	<i>Pushbacks of Third-Country Nationals Subject to an Entry Ban</i>	164
5	Conclusion	169
5	Acting on the External Dimension: The EU-Turkey Statement	173
1	Introduction	173
2	ERTA and the Theory of the European Spheres	175
2.1	<i>Finding an ERTA Effect</i>	175
2.2	<i>Rationales for Duties of Abstention, Focussing on ERTA Exclusivity</i>	182
3	ERTA and the Practice of Migration Management: The EU-Turkey Statement	187
3.1	<i>Background and Content</i>	187
3.2	<i>Reception at the CJEU and in Legal Scholarship</i>	193
3.3	<i>Applying the ERTA Doctrine to the EU-Turkey Statement</i>	194
3.3.1	Whether the Statement Is an 'International Agreement'	194
3.3.2	Analytical Difficulties and Normative Concerns	196
4	Moving Inside-Out in EU External Relations	198
4.1	<i>Towards a Formal ERTA Test: The Useful Effect of EU Law</i>	198
4.2	<i>The Useful Effect in the Case Law on EU External Competences</i>	201
5	Whether the EU-Turkey Statement Is Compatible with the Useful Effect of the Common Rules	209
5.1	<i>The Useful Effect of the Safe Third Country Rule</i>	209
5.2	<i>The Union's Independent Legal Capacity to Act Internally and Externally</i>	217
5.2.1	The EU Asylum Acquis and, in Particular, the Asylum Procedures Directive	218
5.2.2	The Resettlement Scheme	220
5.2.3	The Facility for Refugees in Turkey	223
5.2.4	Visa Liberalisation, the Customs Union and Accession	226
6	Conclusion	226
	Conclusions	229
1	Summary	230

2	The Future of Political Reflexivity	238
2.1	<i>New Pact on Migration and Asylum</i>	240
2.2	<i>Towards EU Strategic Autonomy</i>	243
	Bibliography	249
	Index	279

Acknowledgements

This book is based on my dissertation, submitted at the University of Basel in 2021. I owe the utmost gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Christa Tobler for her continuous intellectual and personal support and for the challenging opportunities, in particular as far as academic teaching is concerned. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Peter Uebersax and Prof. Dr. Jorrit Rijpma for serving on the doctoral committee. The pleasure of doing research at the Institute for European Global Studies inspired me to examine the law of EU migration management from an external point of view. I am therefore indebted to my former colleagues for the stimulating working environment and the warm atmosphere. Turning the dissertation into a monograph would have been impossible without the support from Prof. Dr. Linda Senden, Prof. Dr. Sybe de Vries and Prof. Dr. Michiel Luchtman, who supervised me when I was a postdoc at the Utrecht Centre for Regulation and Enforcement in Europe (Renforce). Likewise, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the publisher for making this book possible in the first place.

