

Individual Criminal Responsibility for Autonomous Weapons Systems
in International Criminal Law

International Humanitarian Law Series

Editor-in-Chief

Professor Timothy L.H. McCormack

Editorial Advisory Board

Professor Georges Abi-Saab – H.E. Judge George H. Aldrich
Madame Justice Louise Arbour – Professor Ove Bring
Professor John Dugard – Professor Dr. Horst Fischer
Dr. Hans-Peter Gasser – Professor Christopher Greenwood
Professor Ruth Lapidot – Professor Gabrielle Kirk McDonald
H.E. Judge Theodor Meron – Captain J. Ashley Roach
Professor Michael Schmitt

VOLUME 65

The International Humanitarian Law Series is a series of monographs and edited volumes which aims to promote scholarly analysis and discussion of both the theory and practice of the international legal regulation of armed conflict.

- The series explores substantive issues of International Humanitarian Law Including,
- Protection for victims of armed conflict and regulation of the means and method of warfare
 - questions of application of the various legal regimes for the conduct of armed conflict
 - Issues relating to the implementation of International Humanitarian Law obligations
 - national and international approaches to the enforcement of the law and
 - the interactions between International Humanitarian Law and other related areas of international law such as Human Rights, Refugee Law, Arms Control and Disarmament Law, and International Criminal Law

The titles published in this series are listed at brill.com/ihul

Individual Criminal Responsibility for Autonomous Weapons Systems in International Criminal Law

By

Barry de Vries



BRILL
NIJHOFF

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Cover illustration: A U.S. Air Force MQ-9 Reaper drone assigned to the 432nd Wing, takes off from the flight-line at Creech Air Force Base September 1, 2021 in Indian Springs, Nevada. (© Tsgt. Emerson Nunez/Us Air/Planet Pix via ZUMA Press Wire)

This book is based on a dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Law of the Justus-Liebig University Gießen for the fulfilment of a doctoral degree.

The Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available online at <https://catalog.loc.gov>
LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2022062069>

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 1389-6776

ISBN 978-90-04-52430-9 (hardback)

ISBN 978-90-04-52431-6 (e-book)

Copyright 2023 by Barry de Vries. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands. Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Hotei, Brill Schöningh, Brill Fink, Brill mentis, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Böhlau, V&R unipress and Wageningen Academic. Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect this publication against unauthorized use. Requests for re-use and/or translations must be addressed to Koninklijke Brill NV via brill.com or copyright.com.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1	Ban the Bot?	1
2	Historical Visions of Autonomy	3
3	Responsibility for Autonomous Weapon Systems	9
2	Development of Autonomy in Weapon Systems	12
1	The History of Automation in Weapon Systems	12
2	Weapon Systems Currently in Use	15
2.1	<i>Mines</i>	15
2.2	<i>Missile Defence Systems</i>	16
2.3	<i>Unmanned Aerial Vehicles</i>	17
2.4	<i>Unmanned Ground Vehicles</i>	19
2.5	<i>Cyber Weapons</i>	20
2.6	<i>Loitering Munitions</i>	20
3	Likely Future Developments	22
4	Reasons for the March towards Autonomy	26
5	Programming	28
5.1	<i>Machine Learning</i>	29
5.2	<i>Artificial Intelligence</i>	31
5.3	<i>'Strong' AI</i>	31
5.4	<i>Ethical Governor</i>	33
5.5	<i>Bounded Morality</i>	34
3	Meaning of Autonomy	35
1	General Notions of Autonomy	36
1.1	<i>Autonomy in Philosophy</i>	37
1.2	<i>Autonomy in Technical Discussions</i>	38
2	Autonomy Spectra	40
3	Dimensions of Autonomy in Autonomous Systems	41
3.1	<i>Human-Machine Relation</i>	42
3.2	<i>Sophistication of the Machine</i>	45
3.3	<i>The Type of Decision Being Automated</i>	49
4	Conclusion	50

4	The Why of International Criminal Justice	52
1	The History of Individual Criminal Accountability in International Criminal Justice	53
2	The Proposed Reasons for International Criminal Justice	60
2.1	<i>Retribution</i>	60
2.2	<i>Deterrence</i>	61
2.3	<i>Truth-Telling</i>	63
2.4	<i>Providing Justice for Victims</i>	64
2.5	<i>Facilitation of Peace</i>	64
2.6	<i>The Expressive Effect of International Criminal Justice</i>	65
3	Conclusion	68
5	AWS Considered as Prohibited Weapons	70
1	Introduction	70
2	Weapons Law under International Humanitarian Law	74
2.1	<i>Superfluous Injury or Unnecessary Suffering</i>	76
2.1.1	Introduction	76
2.1.2	History	77
2.1.3	What Is the Correct English Wording?	79
2.1.4	What Suffering Is Superfluous or What Injury Is Unnecessary?	83
2.1.5	Conclusion	88
2.2	<i>Inherently Indiscriminate</i>	89
2.2.1	Introduction	89
2.2.2	Which Cannot Be Directed	91
2.2.3	Effects that Cannot Be Limited	93
2.2.4	Conflation between the Law of Targeting and Weapons Law	94
2.3	<i>Conclusion</i>	96
3	Employing Prohibited Weapons under International Criminal Law	98
3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	98
3.2	<i>A Nature to Cause</i>	102
3.3	<i>Inherently Indiscriminate</i>	103
3.4	<i>Annex</i>	103
3.5	<i>Comprehensive Prohibition</i>	106
3.6	<i>Nullum Crimen</i>	108
3.7	<i>Non-international Armed Conflicts</i>	112
3.8	<i>Amendment Procedure</i>	121
4	Application to Autonomous Weapons Systems	123
4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	123

4.2	<i>Are AWS of a Nature to Cause Superfluous Injury or Unnecessary Suffering?</i>	124
4.3	<i>Are AWS Inherently Indiscriminate?</i>	125
4.4	<i>What Future Could There Be for AWS under Art. 8(2)(b)(xx) ICC Statute?</i>	128
5	Conclusion	129
6	AWS Considered as Responsible Actors	132
1	Introduction	132
2	General <i>Actus Reus</i> and <i>Mens Rea</i>	134
2.1	<i>Actus Reus</i>	134
2.2	<i>Mens Rea</i>	135
3	<i>Actus Reus</i> and <i>Mens Rea</i> in International Criminal Law	137
3.1	<i>Actus Reus within International Criminal Law</i>	137
3.2	<i>Interpretation of Mens Rea within International Criminal Law</i>	138
4	AWS and <i>Mens Rea</i>	145
5	Conclusion	150
7	Commission	152
1	Introduction	152
2	Theories of Perpetration	153
3	Individual Perpetration	162
4	Co-perpetration	164
5	Indirect Perpetration	168
5.1	<i>General Indirect Perpetration</i>	168
5.2	<i>Organisationsherrschaft</i>	172
5.2.1	History of <i>Organisationsherrschaft</i>	172
5.2.2	Incorporation of <i>Organisationsherrschaft</i> by the ICC	177
5.2.3	The Interpretation of <i>Organisationsherrschaft</i> by the ICC	179
5.3	<i>Conclusion on Indirect Perpetration</i>	184
6	Indirect Co-perpetration	184
7	Commission through AWS?	186
7.1	<i>Direct Human Involvement</i>	187
7.2	<i>No Direct Human Involvement</i>	189
7.2.1	Direct Perpetration	189
7.2.2	Joint Perpetration	190
7.2.3	Indirect Perpetration	191
8	Conclusion	192

8 Superior Responsibility	194
1 Introduction	194
2 Early History	196
3 Post-World War II Jurisprudence	199
3.1 <i>Yamashita</i>	200
3.2 <i>International Military Tribunal for the Far East</i>	203
3.3 <i>Western Prosecutions after World War II</i>	206
4 Additional Protocol I	210
5 The <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals	214
5.1 <i>The ICTY and ICTR Statutes</i>	214
5.2 <i>Superior Responsibility in the Jurisprudence of the ICTY and the ICTR</i>	216
5.2.1 The Existence of a Superior-Subordinate Relationship	218
5.2.2 Knew or Had Reason to Know	222
5.2.3 Failure to Take Necessary and Reasonable Measures	225
5.2.4 Superior Responsibility of Civilians	228
5.3 <i>The Nature of Superior Responsibility at the Ad Hoc Tribunals</i>	230
5.4 <i>Closing Remarks</i>	232
6 ICC Statute	233
6.1 <i>Causality</i>	234
6.2 <i>Military Commanders</i>	237
6.3 <i>Civilian Superiors</i>	240
6.4 <i>Nature of Superior Responsibility under Article 28 ICC Statute</i>	242
7 Applicability of Superior Responsibility to AWS	243
8 Conclusion	248
9 Conclusion	249
1 Conclusion	249
2 Ban the Bot?	254
Treaties, Conventions & Domestic Legislation	259
Jurisprudence	261
Bibliography	267
Index	291