

**INTERNATIONAL
AND
COMPARATIVE
CRIMINAL
LAW
SERIES**

Editorial Board

Series Editor

M. Cherif Bassiouni

President, International Human Rights Law Institute, DePaul University
President, International Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences, Siracusa,
Italy, President, International Association of Penal Law, DePaul University
Professor of Law, DePaul University, Chicago, IL, USA

Diane Amann

Professor of Law
University of California
School of Law
Davis, CA, USA

Christopher L. Blakesley

J.Y. Sanders Professor of Law
Louisiana State University Law
Center
Baton Rouge, LA, USA

Roger S. Clark

Board of Governors Professor
The State University of New Jersey
School of Law
Camden, NJ, USA

John Dugard

Member, International Law
Commission, Geneva; Emeritus
Professor of Law, University of
Witwatersrand, South Africa; and
Professor of Public International
Law, University of Leiden,
The Netherlands

Albin Eser

Professor of Criminal Law, Albert
Ludwig University; Director, Max-
Planck Institute for International and
Comparative Criminal Law
Freiburg, i. B., Germany

Alfredo Etcheberry

Professor of Criminal Law,
National University of Chile;
President, Chilean Lawyers'
Association, Santiago, Chile

Jordan Paust

Professor of Law
University of Houston Law Center
Houston, TX, USA

Mario Pisani

Professor of Criminal Procedure
Faculty of Law, University of Milan
Milan, Italy

William Michael Reisman

Myers S. McDougal Professor of Law
and Jurisprudence, Yale Law School
New Haven, CT, USA

Leila Sadat

Professor of Law
Washington University in St. Louis
School of Law
St. Louis, MO, USA

Michael P. Scharf

Professor of Law
New England School of Law
Boston, MA, USA

Kuniji Shibahara

Professor Emeritus
University of Tokyo, Faculty of Law
Tokyo, Japan

Brigitte Stern

Professor of International Law
University of Paris I
Panthéon, Sorbonne
Paris, France

Otto Triffterer

Professor of International Criminal
Law and Procedure, Faculty of Law,
University of Salzburg
Salzburg, Austria

THE PROSECUTION
AND DEFENSE
OF PEACEKEEPERS
UNDER
INTERNATIONAL
CRIMINAL LAW

GEERT-JAN ALEXANDER KNOOPS



Transnational Publishers

Published and distributed by *Transnational Publishers, Inc.*
Ardsley Park Science and Technology Center
410 Saw Mill River Road
Ardsley, NY 10502

Phone: 914-693-5100
Fax: 914-693-4430
E-mail: info@transnationalpubs.com
Web: www.transnationalpubs.com

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Knoops, Geert-Jan G. J.

The prosecution and defense of peacekeepers under
international criminal law Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 1-57105-154-6

1. International criminal courts. 2. Peacekeeping forces.
3. Criminal liability (International law) 4. Military privileges
and immunities. I. Title.

KZ6314.K58 2004

341.5'84—dc22

2004055335

Copyright © 2004 by Transnational Publishers, Inc.

All rights reserved. This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that copying permitted by U.S. Copyright Law in Section 107, "fair use" in teaching and research, Section 108, certain library copying, and except in published media by reviewers in limited excerpts), without written permission from the publisher.

Manufactured in the United States of America

*“But I can rest only for a moment, for with freedom
come responsibilities, and I dare not linger,
for my long walk is not yet ended”*

(Nelson Mandela, *Long Walk to Freedom*, 1994, at 751)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Table of Abbreviations</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Table of Authorities</i>	<i>xxi</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xxxvi</i>
<i>Table of Treaties, Conventions and Other International Instruments</i>	<i>xxxiii</i>
<i>Abstract</i>	<i>xli</i>
<i>Foreword</i>	<i>xliii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements.</i>	<i>xlvii</i>

Chapter I

Introduction: Framework of Analysis.	1
1 Challenges to the International Criminal Justice System	1
2 The Relevance of International Criminal Law Liability to Peace Supporters	7
2.1 The Emergence of International Military Crisis Management Operations	7
2.2 Peacekeepers and International Criminal Law Liabilities: Examples	9
2.2.1 International Crimes and ECOMOG's Military Intervention in Sierra Leone	9
2.2.1.1 Overview of the Conflict	9
2.2.1.2 Peacekeepers' Crimes	11
2.2.2 International Crimes and Other Recent Peacekeeping Operations	15
2.2.3 Peacekeepers Liability in the "War Against Terrorism": The 2004 Iraqi Prisoner Abuse Case	18
2.3 Peacekeepers and Criminal Trials: Examples.	21
2.4 Individual International Peacekeepers: Emerging Subjects of International Criminal Trials?	24
3 The Doctrinal Implications of International Criminal Law Liability for Peacekeepers and Methodology of Research	25
4 Specific Research Questions and Composition of Research	30

PART 1: NATURE AND SCOPE OF INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING**Chapter II**

The Evolving International Criminal Law Context of International Peacekeeping Operations	35
1 Introduction:	
The Changing Face of International Peacekeeping	35
1.1 Fusion of Peacekeeping and Peace Enforcement Operations: Inducement of International Criminal Law Liabilities.	36
1.2 The Transformation of Peacekeeping Operations into Military Crisis Management Operations and Forcible Humanitarian Interventions	39
1.3 The Change in the Law of United Nations International Peacekeeping Operations	44
1.3.1 The Rule of Law as United Nations Self-Rule	44
1.3.2 International Constitutional Limitations vis-à-vis International Peacekeeping	45
1.3.2.1 Teleological Limitations Originating from the United Nations Charter	46
1.3.2.2 Jus Cogens Limitations to Chapter VII Peace Enforcement Operations	50
1.3.2.3 Restrictions of Security Council Powers Emanating from the ICC Statute.	56
1.3.3 Teleological Limitations: Political Justification for International Peacekeeping	59
2 The Transposition of the International Criminal Normative Framework onto International Peacekeeping.	63
2.1 Introduction: Different Nature of Operation and Use of Force Levels.	63
2.2 International Peacekeepers: Emerging Subjects of International Criminal Law	64
2.3 The Expanding Relationship Between Contemporary Security Council Enforcement Action and International Criminal Law	71
2.4 Conclusion: The Increasing Exposure of Peacekeepers to the International Criminal Justice System	73

PART 2: SUBSTANTIVE CRIMINAL LAW ISSUES**Chapter III**

(International) Criminal Responsibility Sources for International Peacekeepers	79
---	-----------

1	Introduction: Four Categories of International Criminal Law Sources	79
2	Sources of Peacekeepers' Liability: Treaties	80
2.1	Geneva Conventions	80
2.1.1	Common Article 1	81
2.1.2	Classification of Common Article 1 Based on Peacekeeping Mandates	85
2.1.3	Article 11 of Additional Protocol I	87
2.1.4	Common Article 3	88
2.2	Other International Conventions	91
2.3	Sources of Peacekeepers' Liability: Statutes of International and Internationalized Courts and Tribunals	92
3	Sources of Peacekeepers' Liability: Customary International Law	93
3.1	Customary International Law: SG's Bulletin on International Humanitarian Law	93
3.2	International Peacekeeping and Jus Cogens Norms	97
4	Sources of Peacekeepers' Liability: General Principles of International (Criminal) Law	98
4.1	Rules of Engagement: Exponent of General Principles of International Law	98
4.2	Rules of Engagement: Nexus with Peacekeeping Mandates	103
4.3	The Interface of Criminal Liability for Peacekeepers and Rules of Engagement: Another Case Study	104
4.4	Criminal Liability and Exoneration of Peacekeepers Based upon Rules of Engagement	106
5	Conclusion: Reforming the Law of International Peacekeeping Operations	110

Chapter IV

	Fundamentals of International Criminal Responsibility as to International Peacekeepers	111
1	Introduction: Interpretation Within International Peacekeeping Operations	111
2	Main Principles of International Criminal Responsibility: Transposition onto International Peacekeepers	112
3	International Peacekeepers and (In)Direct Criminal Responsibility	113
3.1	Direct Criminal Participation of Peacekeepers	114
3.2	Indirect Criminal Participation of Peacekeepers	115
4	International Peacekeepers and Joint Criminal Enterprise	116
4.1	Practical Context	116

4.2	Modes of Criminal Liability of Peacekeepers in Practice: The 2004 Iraqi Prisoners' Abuse Case	122
5	Seven International Criminal Law Principles for Peacekeepers' Liability.	126
6	International Peacekeepers and Superior Responsibility	127
6.1	Transposition of Superior Responsibility to International Peacekeepers	127
6.2	Potential Dangers of Superior Responsibility to International Peacekeeping Operations	128
6.3	Fundamentals of Superior Responsibility for Peacekeepers.	131
6.3.1	Use of Force and Humanity	131
6.3.2	Imputed or Delegated Liability	132
6.4	The Contemporary Criteria for Superior Responsibility Applied to Peacekeepers.	135
6.4.1	Superior-Subordinate Relationship	136
6.4.2	The Mental Element for International Peacekeepers	137
6.4.2.1	Information to Superiors as a Basis for Mens Rea.	137
6.4.2.2	Evidence of Mens Rea for Superiors.	140
6.4.3	Imputing Mens Rea to Peacekeeping Commanders for Genocide.	142
6.4.4	Superior Responsibility for Genocide: Prosecutorial Mens Rea Evidentiary Burdens. . . .	145
6.4.5	Peacekeeping Commanders Responsibility to Prevent Genocide	147
6.5	The Extensive Element of Failure to Prevent or Punish	149
6.5.1	Practical Implications of the ICTY Hadzihasanovic Case Law to Peacekeepers	149
6.5.2	Multinational Peacekeeping Forces: The Standard of "Had Reason to Know" and Effective Control	152
6.5.3	Difference from ICC Statute	153
6.6	Operational Characteristics and Peacekeepers' Liability	154
6.6.1	Superior Responsibility and the Concept of Peacekeepers' Operational Command.	154
6.6.2	Superior Exoneration Through Parallel Chains of Command or Delegation of Command.	156
6.6.3	Parallel Chain of Commands Within Modern Multinational Military (Peace Support) Formations.	159

7	Concluding Remarks	161
Chapter V		
Circumstances Excluding Criminal Liability of International		
Peacekeepers 163		
1	Introduction: Relevance of Defenses to Peacekeepers.	163
1.1	Peacekeepers Subjected to Increasingly Complex Operations.	163
1.2	Peacekeepers and the ICC Codification of Defenses.	165
2	Peacekeepers' Self-Defense to War Crimes	166
2.1	International Criminal Law Implications for Peacekeepers.	166
2.1.1	Article 2(2) European Convention on Human Rights	166
2.1.1.1	Interpretation by the European Court of Human Rights.	166
2.1.1.2	Implications for International Peacekeepers	170
2.1.2	Article 31(1)(c) ICC Statute.	174
2.1.3	Anticipatory Self-Defense.	175
2.2	The Use of Force for the Protection of Nationals Abroad: Sui Generis Basis for Peacekeepers' Self-Defense?.	176
2.3	The Correlation Between Rules of Engagement, Self-Defense, and Self-Defense Pursuant to Article 31 ICC Statute.	183
3	International Peacekeepers and Obedience to Superior Orders	186
3.1	Superior Orders in the Context of Peacekeeping.	186
3.2	Superior Orders in the Context of International Military Crisis Management Operations	188
4	International Peacekeepers and Duress	190
4.1	Duress: A Controversial Defense.	190
4.2	The Discrepancy of the Erdemovic Case and the Defense of Duress Under Public International Law	192
4.3	The Contemporary International Criminal Law Status of the Defense of Duress: Basis for the Hybrid Defense of Military Duress?.	193
4.4	Practical Relevance of Military Duress to Peacekeepers: Impediment to Mens Rea	198
4.5	Conclusion and Concurrence with the Defense of Diminished Responsibility.	205

5	Military Necessity in the International Peacekeeping Context	206
5.1	Legal Parameters	206
5.2	Peacekeepers and Military Necessity	210
5.3	Concurrence of Peacekeepers Self-Defense and Military Necessity.	213
5.4	Jurisprudence of Israeli Supreme Court as to Necessity for Soldiers.	216
5.4.1	The Defense of Necessity to Torturous Interrogation Methods	219
5.4.1.1	Necessity: Balancing Jus Cogens Norms	219
5.4.1.2	Necessity Exces	224
5.5	Conclusion.	224
6	International Peacekeepers and Reprisals	225
6.1	Potential Effects on Individual Rights of Peacekeepers.	225
6.2	Reprisals Tantamount to Anticipatory Self-Defense: Reflections on Individual Criminal Responsibility for Peacekeepers.	233
7	International Peacekeeping Mandates: Defenses to War Crimes?	236
8	Conclusions.	237

PART 3: JURISDICTIONAL AND PROSECUTORIAL ISSUES

Chapter VI

(International) Criminal Jurisdiction to Prosecute International

	Peacekeepers	241
1	Introduction	241
2	Status of Forces Impediments to International Criminal Jurisdiction	242
2.1	The Impact of Status of Forces Agreements on (International) Criminal Jurisdiction for Peacekeepers.	242
2.2	The Emerging Regulation of the International Criminal Justice System Through SOFAs	246
3.	International Legal Consequences of Failure to Prosecute Peacekeepers Domestically	248
3.1	Convergence of Universal Jurisdiction for Jus Cogens Crimes and Prosecuting Peacekeepers	248
3.2	Interstate Prosecutorial Actions Against Peacekeepers.	252
3.2.1	“Restitution”	252

3.2.2	American Service Members' Protection Act (ASPA): Peacekeepers' Implications	253
3.3	Conclusion	256
4	Legal Impediments to the ICC Jurisdiction Over Peacekeepers	256
4.1	Introduction	256
4.2	International Criminal Law Implications of Security Council Resolutions 1422/1487: A Jurisdictional Defense?	257
4.2.1	Legal History in View of Article 16, ICC Statute	257
4.2.2	Security Council Powers and (Ultra Vires) Application of Article 16	267
4.2.3	The Subject Matter of Resolutions 1422/1487 and the ICC Statute	275
4.2.4	Judicial Consequences of Resolutions 1422/1487 for Peacekeepers' Liability	279
4.2.5	Judicial Opposability to Security Council Resolutions 1422/1487 Under International Criminal Law	281
4.2.5.1	Introduction	281
4.2.5.2	The Principle of Equal Application of International Law	282
4.2.5.3	Erga Omnes and Jus Cogens Obligations of Peacekeepers	285
4.2.5.4	Interpretation of Article 16 in View of Articles 31 and 32 of the Vienna Convention	291
4.2.5.5	Mechanisms to Judicially Review Security Council Resolutions 1422/1487	293
4.2.6	Contemporary Developments on the Issue of Security Council Resolutions 1422/1487: Discontinuation of These Instruments	294
4.2.7	Conclusions and Future Recommendations	296
Chapter VII		
Peacekeepers' Prosecutorial Immunities Arising from International Criminal Law Instruments		
1	Article 98 Agreements and International Peacekeeping Operations	299
1.1	Introduction: Scope and Rationale of Article 98 Agreements	299
1.2	International Criminal Law Parameters for Article 98 Agreements	302

1.3	The Status and Scope of the U.S. Article 98 Agreements According to Customary International Law	304
1.3.1	General Assessment	304
1.3.2	An Analogy with the 1951 NATO SOFA and State Practice?	309
1.4	Conclusion	310
2	Peacekeepers' Statutory Immunities Arising from International or Internationalized Criminal Court Proceedings	311
2.1	The Controversy of Internationally Prosecuting Peacekeepers	311
2.2	Regional Instruments: The ISAF Agreement and SC Resolution 1497	312
2.3	Jurisdictional Immunities of Peacekeepers as to Internationalized Courts: The Special Court for Sierra Leone	314
2.4	Rethinking Peacekeepers' Prosecution: The Case Before the ICC?	318
2.5	Conclusion and Assessment	320

Chapter VIII

	Enhancing the Role of State or Institutional Responsibility for International Peacekeeping Operations	323
1	Introduction: Parallel Responsibilities	323
2	Convergence of State and Institutional Responsibilities and International Criminal Law Liabilities of Peacekeepers	326
2.1	General Remarks	326
2.2	Source of State or Institutional Responsibility for Peacekeepers	327
3	Promulgation of Rules of Engagement: Interrelation Between Institutional and State Responsibility and Peacekeepers' Liability	329
4	Parallel Responsibilities for Peacekeeping Operations in Practice: The Brocklebank Case	332
5	Conclusion: State and Institutional Instruments to Prevent International Criminal Law Liability for Peacekeepers	335

Chapter IX

	Conclusions and Recommendations	339
1	Contemporary International Peacekeeping Politics and International Criminal Justice: A Changing Correlation	339

2	The Transposition of Existing International Criminal Law Norms onto Individual Peacekeepers: Answering Research Questions	340
3	Article 16 of the ICC Statute Revisited	342
4	An International Criminal Law Code of Conduct for International Peacekeepers: Further Recommendations	344
4.1	International Criminal Law Implications of the Brahimi Report	344
4.2	International Criminal Law Implications of Investigative Commissions on Peacekeeping.	347
4.3	Recommendations as to an International Criminal Law Code for Peacekeepers.	351
5	Epilogue	352
<i>Appendix Draft International Criminal Law Code of Conduct for International Peacekeepers</i>		<i>357</i>
<i>Biography</i>		<i>361</i>
<i>Index.</i>		<i>363</i>