

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My research in the complex field of German and Netherlandic Renaissance and Baroque theater could not have been carried out successfully without the assistance of several scholars and institutions. I should first like to thank Professor Heiko A. Oberman for his careful reading of the entire manuscript and his decision to publish it in his series *Studies in the History of Christian Thought*. I should also like to thank Professor George C. Schoolfield, my former teacher during my graduate school years at Yale, for awakening my interest in early modern German, Dutch and Neo-Latin drama through his elegant and witty lectures and for serving as an inspiring model of rigorous scholarship which few critics ever attain. Through the years I have profited greatly from his encyclopedic knowledge of Renaissance and Baroque literature in both central Europe and Scandinavia—an invaluable gift when dealing with the polymaths of the early modern *respublica litteraria*—his close, incisive interpretation of texts and especially his promulgation of an urbane and lively literary-critical style. Special thanks are also due to Professor Jozef IJsewijn (Leuven) for his learned advice on Netherlandic Neo-Latin theater and his continued encouragement of a Germanist in a Latin world and Professor Leonard Forster (Cambridge) for his critical comments on my interpretation of Andreas Gryphius' *Leo Armenius* when it appeared in *Daphnis*, 13 (1984). I should like to thank Professor Hans-Gert Roloff (Berlin) and Mr. Fred van der Zee of Editions Rodopi for their permission to reprint sections of that article, "Andreas Gryphius and Jesuit Theater," in the fourth chapter. I am also grateful to Professor Robert V. Schnucker (Northeast Missouri State) for granting permission to reprint parts of my article "The Development of Religious Tragedy: The Humanist Reception of the *Christos Paschon* in the Renaissance," which first appeared in *The Sixteenth Century Journal*, 16 (1985), in the discussion of Christian tragedy in the first chapter. Finally, I should like to extend warm thanks to my Princeton colleagues in Renaissance studies, Anthony Grafton, Thomas DaCosta Kaufmann and David Quint, who provided many hours of stimulating discussion and debate about the Holy Roman Empire and the Netherlands which helped me to formulate my own ideas on theater more clearly.

The research for the present study was completed with the assistance of the Princeton University Research Fund for the Humanities and Social Sciences and the Princeton University Department of Germanic Languages and Literatures. Several libraries provided access to rare

printings and manuscripts of Renaissance Latin drama: The British Library, Staatsbibliothek München, Universitätsbibliothek München, Herzog August Bibliothek Wolfenbüttel, Stadtbibliothek Trier, Stadtbibliothek Köln, Universitätsbibliothek Düsseldorf, Staatsarchiv Koblenz and the Beinecke Library of Yale University. I am deeply grateful to the gracious staffs of all these institutions and their willingness to supply me with microfilms and photocopies of numerous plays. I owe a special debt of gratitude to the indefatigable staff of the Inter-Library Loan department at Princeton who expeditiously obtained most of the secondary literature on Vondel for my use. Finally, I should like to thank Mrs. Geraldine DiCicco for her careful and skillful typing of the manuscript and her inestimable editorial advice about the final preparation of the text.

James A. Parente, Jr.  
University of Illinois at Chicago