

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book has been in the making for many years, and a complete list of institutions and individuals who have aided its progress in one way or another would be correspondingly long; here I can only mention the most significant contributions. By far the most important part of the work was done during my fellowship at the Swedish Collegium for Advanced Study in the Social Sciences, in 1999–2000; I am grateful to the directors, the staff and other fellows for this very enjoyable and productive academic year. During the stay in Uppsala, valuable feedback was provided by a regular discussion group which included John A. Hall, Hans Joas, Göran Therborn, Björn Wittrock and Bernard Yack.

I am indebted to La Trobe University for a sabbatical which was essential for preparatory work. At various stages, offshoots or draft versions of the project were presented at the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, the University of Iceland, the European University Institute and the Internationales Forschungszentrum Kulturwissenschaften in Vienna; thanks are due to the sponsors and organizers of these seminars.

The last chapter of the book is based on a seminar given under the auspices of the Mahatma Gandhi International Hindi University during a visit to Delhi in December 2001; I wish to thank organizers and participants, especially Nirmal Verma, Suresh Sharma and Ashok Vajpeyi.

Other projects have accompanied work on the book and helped to anchor my theoretical concerns in more substantive studies; thanks are due to those who initiated and supported them. Two workshops on ‘multiple modernities’, organized by Stephen R. Graubard in preparation for a thematic issue of *Daedalus*, were highly conducive to reflection on civilizational themes. Together with Peter Murphy and Vassilis Lambropoulos, and under the auspices of the journal *Thesis Eleven*, I was involved in the organization of a conference on the classical legacy at Ohio State University in 1997, and in the publication of selected papers under the title *Agon, Logos, Polis* (Franz Steiner Verlag, Stuttgart, 2001). An invitation to contribute to a special issue of *International Sociology*, organized by Said Amir

Arjomand and Edward Tiryakian, gave me an opportunity to present a condensed version of the argument developed in the fourth chapter of the book.

Conversations with friends and colleagues who shared my interest in civilizational theory (or were at least constructively critical of it) have been important at all stages of the project. Apart from those mentioned above, I wish to thank Peter Beilharz, Shmuel N. Eisenstadt, Heidrun Friese, Martin Fuchs, Joel S. Kahn, Wolfgang Knöbl, Jaroslav Krejčí, Antje Linkenbach, David Roberts and Peter Wagner. I am grateful to Maria and Jan for their support during the years it has taken to complete the book.

Thanks are due to Bronwyn Bardsley, Merle Parker and Elaine Young for their invaluable help in preparing the manuscript; because of the conditions of publication, this was a particularly demanding task.

It remains to mention my most significant intellectual debt. The book is dedicated to the memory of Cornelius Castoriadis, who died when the project was still in a preparatory phase. Civilizational analysis did not figure prominently on his agenda, but his innovative rethinking of the most central themes of social theory throws new light on the question of civilizations in the plural. The following argument owes much more to his work than the direct references would suggest.